UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,046	02/26/2004	Shinichi Takeshima	118849	5174
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 01/22/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350		WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/786,046	TAKESHIMA, SHINICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	PAUL A. WARTALOWICZ	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	and prior to the date of filing a brief	وعا لومسووه وعالم والنب	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed and the p	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	112, first paragraph.		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-8 and 10-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	,	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Steven Bos/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1793	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 35 USC 112 rejections have been overcome. The amendment is drawn to clarifying the first element in the organic compound. Applicant argues that the examiner is taking Official notice for the limitation of the aqueous phase being emulsified in the organic phase with a surfactant. However, this is not the case. While Nawa does fail to teach the above limitation, Sherif and Chitofrati teach the above limitation. Sherif is relied upon to teach the hydrolysis reaction between an organic metal in an organic phase with an aqueous phase emulsified therein. Applicant argues that Sherif does not teach incorporating a second element. However, Chitofrati is relied upon to teach incorporating a second element from an aqueous phase into the product. Applicant argues that Chitofrati teaches the metal element in the organic phase forms a salt with the surfactant. However, Chitofrati is not relied upon for the hydrolysis reaciton, but the teaching of incorporation of the metal salt from the aqueous phase.

Continuation of 13. Other: The 103 rejections are maintained for the reasons set forth in the record.